Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/518,668	WACHENDORFF-NEUMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sabiha Qazi	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Sabiha Qazi</u> .	(3)	. 1
(2) <u>Bryan Skelton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12/22/06</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part i.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: yes Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		• •
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: in order to advance the prosecution Examiner called to discuss the data presented in the specification. The ratio calculated for instant invention is 1;2;1 which does not show any synergism. Mr Skelton argued, Examiner respectfully disagree and maintain the rejection....